



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,486	03/30/2004	Shervin Moloudi	15420US01	4921
23446 7590 04/24/2009 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661				
EXAMINER				
DAGLAWI, AMAR A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2618				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/24/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/813,486

Applicant(s)

MOLOUDI, SHERVIN

Examiner

AMAR DAGLAWI

Art Unit

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/09/2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-40 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 21, and 32 are independent. Claims 2-20, 22-31 and 32-40 depend directly or indirectly from independent claims 1, 21, and 32. Claims 1-7, 11, 14-22, 24, 26-28, 32-34, 36-37 and 39-40.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating

obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 1-10, 14-21, 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of prior art in view of Beesley (US 5,123, 008).

6.

7. With respect to claim 1, the recitation "A method for reducing phase noise" has not been given patentable weight because it has not been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

8. applicant's admitted prior art teaches generating in a transmitter, a local oscillator (LO) a signal at a particular frequency the LO signal being associated with a LO harmonic frequency signal disposed at a LO harmonic frequency (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]);

9. selecting in transmitter frequency content disposed in a region around the LO harmonic frequency and (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013])

10. However, applicant's admission of prior art fails to teach attenuating in said transmitter said selected frequency content disposed in said region around the LO harmonic frequency which is taught in the same field of endeavor by Beesley (Fig.1, col.3, lines 25-50).

11. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of the conventional transmitter taught by applicant's admission of prior art with the attenuator as taught by Beesley so as to provide slow transients to the transmitter on/off switching action so as to reduce the splattering by the transmitter amplitude modulated side bands into adjacent channels.

12. With respect to claim 2, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches associating the signal with a second LO harmonic frequency signal disposed at a second harmonic frequency and selectively attenuating frequency content disposed in a second region around the LO second harmonic frequency (Beesley, Fig.1, col.2, lines 52-67, col.3, lines 1-50).

13. With respect to claim 3, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the LO signal and transmitting the applied signal (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

14. With respect to claim 4, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the LO signal comprises dividing the LO signal (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

15. With respect to claim 5, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the LO signal comprises mixing the LO signal with a reference signal (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).
16. With respect to claim 6, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the LO signal comprises amplifying the LO signal (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).
17. With respect to claim 7, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the LO signal is generated by at least one of a fixed frequency oscillator, a voltage controlled oscillator, and a current controlled oscillator (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).
18. With respect to claim 8, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the frequency content is selectively attenuated by at least one attenuating circuit (Beesley Fig.1, col.3, lines 1-50).
19. With respect to claim 9, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the at least one attenuating circuit comprises at least one of an integrated component and a discrete component (Fig.1, fig.2, par [0004-0013]).
20. With respect to claim 10, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the at least one attenuating circuit comprises at least one harmonic trap (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).
21. With respect to claim 14, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the LO signal comprises a differential signal (Fig.1, col.2, lines 52-67, col.3, lines 1-50).

22. With respect to claim 15, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the LO signal comprises a quadrature (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

23. With respect to claim 16, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the selective attenuating comprises cancelling frequency content disposed in the region around the LO harmonic frequency (Fig.1, col.2, lines 52-67, col.3, lines 1-50).

24. With respect to claim 17, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the cancelling frequency content disposed in the region around the LO harmonic frequency comprises cancelling frequency content disposed only at the LO harmonic frequency (Fig.1, col.2, lines 52-67, col.3, lines 1-50).

25. With respect to claim 18, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the selective attenuating comprises notching frequency content disposed in the region around the LO harmonic frequency (Fig.1, col.2, lines 52-67, col.3, lines 1-50).

26. With respect to claim 19, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the notching frequency content comprises notching frequency content disposed only at the LO harmonic frequency (Fig.1, Fig.2, col.2, lines 52-67, col.3, lines 1-50).

27. With respect to claim 20, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the selective attenuating comprises bandstopping frequency content disposed in the region around the LO harmonic frequency (Fig.1, col.2, lines 52-67, col.3, lines 1-50).

With respect to claim 21, applicant's admission of prior art teaches a signal generator in a transmitter, said signal generator generates a LO signal at a particular

frequency, the LO signal being associated with a LO harmonic frequency signal disposed at a LO harmonic frequency (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]); and

However, applicant's admission of prior art fails to teach an attenuating circuit in said transmitter, that said attenuating circuit selects frequency content disposed in a region around the LO harmonic frequency and attenuates said selected frequency content disposed in said region around the LO harmonic frequency which is taught in the same field of endeavor by Beesley (Fig.1, col.2, lines 52-67, col.3, lines 1-50).

28. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of the conventional transmitter taught by applicant's admission of prior art with the attenuator as taught by Beesley so as to provide slow transients to the transmitter on/off switching action so as to reduce the splattering by the transmitter amplitude modulated side bands into adjacent channels.

With respect to claim 24, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches a non linear operation circuit that applies at least one non-linear operation to the signal to obtain an outgoing signal and a transmitting circuit for transmitting the outgoing signal (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

With respect to claim 25, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the transmitting circuit comprises an antenna (Fig.1, fig.2, par [0004-0013])).

29. With respect to claim 26, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches non-linear operation circuit comprises a divider that divides the signal (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

30. With respect to claim 27, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the non-linear operation circuit comprises a mixer that mixes the signal with a reference signal (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

31. With respect to claim 28, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the non-linear operation circuit comprises an amplifier that amplifies the signal (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

32. With respect to claim 29, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the signal generator comprises at least one of a fixed frequency oscillator, a voltage controlled oscillator and a current controlled oscillator (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

33. With respect to claim 30, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the attenuating circuit comprises at least one of an integrated component and a discrete component (fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

34. With respect to claim 31, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley further teaches the attenuating circuit comprises at least one harmonic trap (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

35. Claims 11, 12, 13, 22-23, 32-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley in view of Cairns (US 5,794,131).

36. With respect to claims 11, 12, 13, 22-23 applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley teaches all the limitations of claim 1 except for buffering the signal prior to selectively attenuating the frequency content and wherein the buffering is performed by a buffer and wherein the selective attenuating of the frequency content is performed within the buffer which is taught in related art by Cairns (See col.4, lines 1-36, Fig.3).

37. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the transmitter of applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley with the buffer of Cairns so as to provide sufficient attenuation to the third harmonics at the transmitter.

38. With respect to claim 32, applicant's admitted prior teaches the recitation "A system for reducing phase noise" has not been given patentable weight because it has not been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches a signal generator in a transmitter, said signal generator generates a signal at a particular frequency, the LO signal being associated with a LO harmonic frequency signal disposed at a LO harmonic frequency (Fig.1, Fig.2, par [0004-0013]).

39. However, applicant's admitted prior art fails to teach a buffer that buffers the signal, the buffer adapted to selected LO frequency content disposed in a region around the LO harmonic frequency which is taught in related art by Cairns (See col.4, lines 1-36, Fig.3).

40. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the transmitter of applicant's admitted prior art with the buffer of Cairns so as to provide sufficient attenuation to the third harmonics at the transmitter.

41. Also, applicant's admitted prior art fails to teach to attenuate said selected frequency content disposed in said region around the Lo harmonic frequency which is taught in the same field of endeavor by Beesley (Fig.1, col.3, lines 25-50).

42. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of the conventional transmitter taught by applicant's admission of prior art with the attenuator as taught by Beesley so as to provide slow transients to the transmitter on/off switching action so as to reduce the splattering by the transmitter amplitude modulated side bands into adjacent channels.

43. With respect to claim 33, applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley in view of Cairns further teaches the signal is a differential signal (Fig.1).

44. With respect to claim 34, applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley in view of Cairns further teaches the signal is a quadrature (Fig.1).

45. With respect to claim 35, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Beesley in view of Cairns further teaches the signal generator comprises a differential signal generator (Fig.1).

46. With respect to claim 36, applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley in view of Cairns further teaches the buffer comprises a differential pair of transistors, the differential pair of transistors being adapted to receive the signal (Fig.3 of Cairns).

47. With respect to claim 37, applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley in view of Cairns further teaches the buffer comprises a harmonic trap the harmonic trap being adapted to attenuate the frequency content disposed in the region around the harmonic frequency (Cairns, Fig.3, col.4, lines 1-36).

48. With respect to claim 38, applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley in view of Cairns further teaches the harmonic trap is disposed across a differential output of the buffer (Cairns, Fig.3, col.4, lines 1-36).

With respect to claim 39, applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley in view of Cairns further teaches the buffer is adapted to band stop the frequency content disposed in the region around the harmonic frequency (Fig.1, Fig.2, #7, col.1, lines 5-67, col.2, lines 1-25).

49. With respect to claim 40, applicant's admitted prior art and Beesley in view of Cairns further teaches the buffer is adapted to notch the frequency content disposed only at approximately the harmonic frequency (Fig.1, Fig.2, #7, col.1, lines 5-67, col.2, lines 1-25).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMAR DAGLAWI whose telephone number is (571)270-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (7:30 AM- 5:00 AM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NGUYEN DUC can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amar Daglawi
Examiner
Art Unit 2618

/Amar Daglawi/
Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Duc Nguyen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618